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ABSTRACT

We propose a mechanism that may allow for understanding of the cluster-impact fusion experiment of Beuhler, Friedlander, and Friedman. When the cluster of $D_2O$ molecules collides with the metallic surface, the cluster dissociates into a collection of $D$ and $O$ atoms. In the process, a significant portion of the translational kinetic energy of the cluster is converted to thermal energy, so that the system thermalizes to become a "warm atomic plasma". The neutral $D$ atoms in the warm atomic plasma then fuse with the $D$ atoms in the lattice via direct scattering, without going through the doorway step of forming $D_2$ molecules. As a rough estimate for the fusion reaction rate, the velocity distribution of the thermalized $D$ atoms is taken to be Maxwell-Boltzmann, leading to results in qualitative agreement with the experimental observations for a cluster of about 100 - 300 molecules.

I. Introduction

Recently, Beuhler, Friedlander, and Friedman (BFF) [1] claimed that the nuclear fusion reaction $d+d \rightarrow 3^+ H + p$, detected via the $3 - MeV$ protons produced, has been observed to take place as singly charged clusters of 25 to 1300 $D_2O$ molecules, accelerated to 200 to 325 $keV$, impinge on $TiD$ targets. The BFF experiment has often been cited as another evidence to support the result reported earlier by S.E. Jones et al. [2] who have observed deuteron-deuteron fusion at room temperature during low-voltage electrolytic infusion of deuterons into metallic titanium or palladium electrodes. Nevertheless, the situation concerning "cold fusion" (CF) remains rather confusing and is certainly far from being settled. Some claimed [3] that they have seen CF, while others [4] declared the opposite.

In this work, we attempt to explore if the BFF experiment can be understood on plausible grounds. To this end, we noted that in the BFF experiment each $D$ atom in the $D_2$ molecular cluster, which will dissociate upon impinging on the target, has energy around 20 to 300$eV$. (Here and henceforth we shall use $D$ and $d$ to denote a deuterium atom and a deuteron nucleus, respectively.) It seems rather unlikely that these energetic $D$ atoms will give up all their kinetic energies and form $D_2$ molecules with $D$ atoms on the lattice such that fusion reactions take
place after the formation of $D_2$ molecules. Instead, it is likely that fusion reactions take place via direct scattering between the energetic incoming $D$ atoms and the $D$ atoms inside the target. Recent calculations [5] of the interaction potentials between two $D$ atoms inside $Pd$ and $Ti$ suggest that the shortest stable equilibrium distance between two $D$ atoms inside the metals is not shorter than that in a free $D_2$ molecule. This in turn suggests that, if the fusion reactions took place by going through a doorway step of forming $D_2$ molecules, then the fusion reaction rate inside the metals would be less than that in the free space, contradicting some people's belief that the metals enhance the reaction rate. It is also likely that in the BFF experiment, when the singly charged $D_2O$ clusters impinge upon the target, the dissociated $D$ atoms will be mostly neutral. Accordingly, the interaction potential in the scattering process is more like $D+D$, i.e., atom-atom interaction rather than the usually assumed $d+d$, i.e., bare Coulomb interaction. The assumption is supported by the neutral $He+He$ and $H+He$ collision experiments [6] in the laboratory energy range of 200 to 500eV, where one sees that complete ionization does not occur very often. When the free space $D+D$ interaction potential is used, the fusion cross section is 6 orders of magnitude larger than that of the pure Coulomb $d+d$ interaction potential for the center-of-momentum energy of about 150eV. Although this is a significant improvement, the predicted fusion reaction rate is still lower than the observed one by more than 19 orders of magnitude. As suggested by the title of the BFF experiment, however, "cluster" and "impact" are the two ingredients which appear in the BFF experiment but not in atom-atom scattering in free space. A possible effect of "cluster-impact" is that the translational motion of the cluster will be stopped by the target and a dissociation of the cluster into $D$ and $O$ atoms occurs. The lost kinetic energy of the translational motion of the cluster may be redistributed to the dissociated atoms. If the cluster is large enough, a quasi-thermal equilibrium state may be reached, forcing the system to form a "warm atomic plasma" of some sort. For a crude approximation the velocity distribution of the dissociated atoms may be taken as Maxwell-Boltzmann. With the above proposed scattering process and the velocity distribution our calculated results for the fusion rate are in qualitative agreement with the observations in the BFF experiment. We call this type of nuclear fusion as "warm fusion" (WF) which is to be distinguished from the so-called cold fusion (CF) and the much studied hot fusion. Cold fusion is usually referred to fusion which could take place at room temperature or below. Hot fusion refers to deuterons with thermal energy as high as $10^6$ degrees and above. Our proposed warm fusion, which occurs via formation of a warm atomic plasma, provides another type of fusion. When the $D_2O$ cluster impinges upon the target with energy around 300 keV, the dissociated $D$ atoms are thermalized up to a temperature of around $10^6$ to $10^7$ degrees and therefore a "warm" fusion.

Thermalization of the $D$ atoms in the cluster enhances the fusion rate by more than 15 orders of magnitude, in comparison with the delta-function velocity distribution, in the energy range we considered. Therefore the establishment of quasi-thermal equilibrium state is essential for this type of nuclear fusion to be observed. It is possible that some kind of redistribution of velocity of the $D$ atoms (or $d$ ions), which will enhance the fusion rate, also occur in the low-voltage electrolysis experiments performed by Jones et al. [2] and Fleischmann et al. [3] and others. However in this work we will concentrate only on the cluster-impact experiment of BFF and will not elaborate on the electrolysis experiments. In the following we
present the theory and the calculated results of the above proposed mechanism for warm fusion.

II. Nuclear Fusion via Direct Atomic Scattering

In the cluster-impact experiment of Beuhler, Friedlander [1], and Friedman, the observed reaction rate is related to the fusion cross section \( \sigma(E) \) as follows:

\[
R = n \sigma(E) \Phi t A = 2N_i \sigma(E) t I_d,
\]

where \( n \) is the density of the deuterium atoms in the target material, \( t \) and \( A \) are respectively the thickness (or the penetration depth) and the cross section area of the target, \( \Phi \) is the incoming flux of the deuterium atoms, \( I_d \) is the incoming dc current and \( N_i \) is the number of heavy-water molecules contained in the singly charged cluster. The fusion cross section \( \sigma(E) \) is given by the standard formula

\[
\sigma(E) = \frac{S(E)}{E} e^{-G},
\]

\[
G = 2 \int_{a}^{r_0} k(r) dr,
\]

\[
k(r) = \{2\mu[V(r) - E]\}^{1/2},
\]

where \( E \) and \( \mu \) are respectively the kinetic energy in the center-of-momentum (CM) frame and the reduced mass of the deuteron pair, and \( S(E) \) is the astrophysical \( S \) factor for the specific process. \( (S(E \approx 0) \approx 55 \text{ keV } - \text{ barn} \) for \( d+d \rightarrow ^1H + p \) and \( d+d \rightarrow ^3H + e + n \). \( V(r) \) is the repulsive interaction potential between the reacting particles, \( d+d \), \( d+D \), or \( D+D \). When the reacting particles are \( d+d \), the \( e^{-G} \) term is the standard Gamow Coulomb barrier penetration factor in the WKB approximation. When the reacting particles are \( d+D \) or \( D+D \), the \( e^{-G} \) term still represents the penetration factor but its value is many orders of magnitude larger than the standard Gamow factor for \( E \) in the energy range we considered. Note that \( r_0 \) in Eq. (2b) is the classical turning point as the two deuterons approach each other, while the inner distance \( a (< r_0) \) is such that \( V(a) = V(r_0) \). In practice, we may set \( a \approx 0 \).

We begin our investigations by comparing the results corresponding to three different choices of the potential \( V(r) \), viz.: (1) the pure \( d+d \) Coulomb repulsive potential shown as the long dashed curve in Fig. 1, (2) the screened \( D+D \) potential \( V(r) \) as obtained in the calculation on \( D_2 \) molecule by Kolos and Wolniewicz (KW)[7], shown as the solid curve in Fig. 1, and (3) the partially screened \( d+D \) potential in \( D_2^- \) shown as the short dashed curve in Fig. 1. A glance at Fig. 1 already suggests that the difference between the \( D+D \) and \( d+D \) cases is much less dramatic than that between the \( D+D \) and \( d+d \) cases.

In Fig. 2, the calculated fusion cross section is shown as a function of the atom-atom CM kinetic energy \( E_d \). In the long dashed curve is the prediction for the \( d+d \) Coulomb repulsive potential, in the solid curve for the \( D+D \) potential in \( D_2 \) [7], and in the short dashed curve for the partially screened \( d+D \) potential in \( D_2^- \). It is clear that the predicted cross section is the largest in the case of the fully screened \( D+D \) potential.

As two deuterium atoms scatter from each other, there is of course some chance that both atoms become ionized. In the case that both deuterium atoms are ionized, \( d+d \) fusion is dictated by the need to penetrate the pure Coulomb potential. The penetration factor \( e^{-G} \) is found to be \( 10^{-35} \) at \( E = 150 \text{eV} \) (the energy relevant for the BFF experiment). On the other hand, if both deuterium atoms remain electrically neutral, the penetration factor becomes \( 10^{-29} \) which is an enhancement of about 6 orders of magnitude. It is thus an experimental question to decide the level of complete ionization as two deu-
Fig. 1. The potentials which we choose to consider in this work. The long dashed curve refers to the pure \( d + d \) Coulomb repulsive potential, the solid curve is the \( D + D \) potential \( V(r) \) in \( D_2 \) molecule as obtained by Kolos and Wolniewicz [7], and the short dashed curve is the partially screened \( d + D \) potential in \( D_2^- \).

Deuterium atoms collide. A similar question for \( He + He \) and \( H + He \) collisions has been studied [6], indicating that elastic atomic scattering dominates in the laboratory energy range of 200 to 500 eV. So long as there is a significant fraction of time that complete ionization is irrelevant, the approximation to consider only the atom-atom collisions through the KW potential should yield a reasonable estimate for the fusion cross section, at least in terms of the order of magnitude.

Koonin and Nauenberg [8] have considered the scenario in which a \( D_2 \) molecule is formed prior to nuclear fusion by tunneling through the KW potential. They obtain the rate for \( d + d \) fusion which is some 10 orders of magnitude faster than previous estimates, but still far below the value that might be needed to account for those experiments which claim to have seen CF. In such scenario, the Coulomb barrier remains very high although trapping of the two deuterium atoms in the potential well improve the the chance for penetration for nuclear fusion.
(as there is more time to do so). It turns out that the gain really cannot outweigh the loss in the penetration factor.

While the consideration of the nuclear fusion via unionized atom-atom scattering can improve the calculated results many orders of magnitude, this picture alone is not enough for understanding the BFF experiment since our calculated rate is still lower than the observed one by about 19 orders of magnitude. Nevertheless, the cluster-impact experiment such as BFF has an additional feature that, upon impact, the cluster may dissociate into $D$ and $O$ atoms and a large portion of the translational kinetic energy of the cluster may convert to thermal energy. The thermalization process causes redistribution of the velocities among the $D$ atoms. This will enhance the reaction rate by more than 15 orders of magnitude. We call this thermalization induced fusion "warm fusion" and will discuss it in the following Section.

III. Nuclear Fusion via Formation of a Warm Atomic Plasma

The experimental situation of the BFF experiment has an important feature that the cluster is large. It seems likely that, within a limited numbers of layers in the lattice, the impinging flow of $D_2$ cluster already suffer from a large number of collisions (electromagnetic in origin) between particles in the beam and those in the target such that the cluster dissociates into $D$ and $O$ atoms and redistribution of the kinetic energy occurs. Accordingly, the system thermalizes to become a "warm atomic plasma" of some sort. It is clear that such evolution of cluster flow can in fact be described by the well-known Boltzmann transport equation with the interactions between particles in the flow and those in the target giving rise to the "force term" [9].

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{m} \cdot \nabla v_1 \right) f_1 \]

\[ = \int d\Omega d^3 \mathbf{v}_2 \left| \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \right| \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (f_2^2 f'_1 - f_2 f_1), \]

with $f_1 \equiv f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_1, t)$, $f_2 \equiv f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_2, t)$, $f'_1 \equiv f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_1', t)$, and $f'_2 \equiv f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_2', t)$. $d\sigma/d\Omega$ is the differential cross section for the collision $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{v}_1', \mathbf{v}_2'\}$.

As the zeroth-order approximation [9], the distribution function is locally Maxwell-Boltzmann, i.e. $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) = (\frac{M}{2\pi k_B T})^{3/2} \exp(-\frac{M}{2k_B T} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^2)$ with $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ slowly varying functions of the position $\mathbf{r}$ and the time $t$.

At a time $t_0$ when the flow is almost stopped ($\mathbf{u} \approx 0$) and a quasi-equilibrium state, i.e., a warm plasma of neutral atoms ("warm atomic plasma"), has been reached.

It is likely that the neutral $D$ atoms in the warm atomic plasma then fuse with the $D$ atoms in the lattice via direct scattering, without going through the doorway step of forming $D_2$ molecules. Qualitatively speaking, the total thermal energy of the flow may be only about a half of that of the original flow but the flow now contains a fraction of high-energy deuterium atoms, enhancing the warm fusion cross section in a significant way. For example, the Gamow Coulomb barrier penetration factor, the $e^{-\mathcal{G}}$ term, is $10^{-29}$, $10^{-21}$, or $10^{-18}$ for $E = 150$ eV, 300 eV, or 450 eV, respectively. As long as the redistribution according to the Boltzmann transport phenomenon yields a non-negligible fraction of deuterium atoms of energies several times of the initial value, say a couple of per cent, the enhancement of the fusion cross section can easily be in the range of more than 10 orders of magnitude.

In the process of forming the warm atomic plasma, the thermal energy, as converted from a portion of the translational kinetic energy $E_{\text{cluster}}$ of the cluster, is specified by $\alpha E_{\text{cluster}}$. 

\[ \frac{339}{\text{du}/\text{d}\Omega} \]
\[ E_{\text{thermal}} = \alpha E_{\text{cluster}}. \]  

(4)

Assuming that, by equipartition theorem, the thermal energy is shared equally among \( 3N_i \) dissociated \( D \) and \( O \) atoms, the temperature of the plasma is given as

\[ \frac{E_{\text{thermal}}}{3N_i} = \frac{3}{2} k_B T. \]  

(5)

\( \alpha \) will be treated as a parameter characterizing the fraction of the kinetic energy retained by the projectile flow of deuterium atoms after the flow has been stopped. Most of collision processes yield \( \alpha < 1 \). In our opinion, \( \alpha \sim \frac{1}{2} \) would be a reasonable estimate and \( \alpha = 1 \) helps to set the optimal upper bound.

We may use

\[ f(v) = \left( \frac{M}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{-M}{2k_B T} v^2\right), \]  

(6)

with \( M \) the deuteron mass and \( v \) the deuterium velocity seen in the rest frame of the target material (the laboratory frame). Assuming that fusion takes place between the deuterium atom in the cluster projectile and that in the target material, we obtain the CM kinetic energy:

\[ E = \frac{1}{2} E_d^M = \frac{1}{2} \mu v^2. \]  

(7)

On the first sight, the cross section to be used in connection with Eq. (1) would be given by

\[ \langle \sigma \rangle = \int_0^{N_0 k_B T} \sigma(E) f(v) d^3 v, \]  

(8)

where \( \sigma(E) \) is obtained from Eqs. (4). However, a close look at Eq. (1) indicates that \( \sigma(E) v \) is the quantity to be replaced by

\[ \langle \sigma v \rangle = \int_0^{N_0 k_B T} \sigma(E) |v| f(v) d^3 v, \]  

(9)

since the flux \( \Phi \), contains the relative velocity between the two fusion particles. A temperature-dependent cut-off \( N_0 k_B T \), with \( N_0 = 6 - 10 \), has been introduced in Eqs. (8) and (9) to avoid the "high energy" region where the WKB approximation is no longer justified while the contribution to warm fusion cross section is likely to be of less importance.

In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), we show our predictions, for the case \( N_i = 150 \), together with the results from the BFF experiment, for the quantity \( \langle \sigma v \rangle \) as a function of the energy respectively for \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 0.5 \). The long dashed and solid curves are results obtained with \( N_0 = 10 \) and 6, respectively. The experimental results are extracted with the use of Eq. 1 and the following estimates,

\[ n \approx 6 \times 10^{22}/cm^3, \quad \Phi \approx 1.25 \times 10^{12} cm^{-2} sec^{-1} \]

\[ t \approx 10^{-5} cm, \quad A \approx 1 cm^2. \]  

(10)

It is seen that the shape of the energy dependence seen in the BFF experiment is reproduced very well. In addition, our predictions are surprisingly close to the points extracted qualitatively from the BFF experiment. Considering the fact that our estimates can easily be off by a couple of orders of magnitude and that there are many effects which can give rise to modification in the range of a couple of orders of magnitude, we have come a long way to resolve the mystery of 10's orders of magnitude in understanding the BFF experiment.

Fig. 4, shows our predictions, together with the results from the BFF experiment, on the quantity \( \langle \sigma v \rangle \) as a function of the number of \( D_2O \) molecules in the cluster projectile for fixed cluster incident energy \( E_{\text{cluster}} = 300keV \) and \( \alpha = 1 \). Here it is seen that additional cluster effects set in as the size of the cluster increases. This can be taken as another evidence for our conjecture that the projectile flow can in fact be described as a Boltzmann transport phenomenon of some sort. As the cluster size
Fig. 3a. Our predictions, together with the results from the BFF experiment, on the quantity $<σv>$ shown as a function of cluster incident energy with $α = 1$, for the case that cluster projectile contains 150 $D_2O$ molecules. The long dashed and the solid curves are results obtained with different choices of cut-off energy, i.e., $N_0 = 10$ and 6, respectively.

Fig. 3b. Same as in Fig. 3a, but with $α = 0.5$. 
Fig. 4. Our predictions, together with the results from the BFF experiment, on the quantity \( \langle \sigma v \rangle \) shown as a function of the number of \( D_2O \) in the cluster at fixed cluster incident energy of 300keV with \( \alpha = 1 \). The long dashed and the solid curves are results obtained with different choices of cut-off energy, i.e., \( N_0 = 10 \) and 6, respectively.

increases, the approach in which only the deuterium atoms in the cluster projectile are assumed to be "thermalized" becomes too limited since compression forced on the target material by the large cluster should become of great importance.

IV. Concluding Remarks

In this work, we have proposed a mechanism that may allow for understanding of the cluster-impact fusion experiment of Beuhler, Friedlander, and Friedman [1]. As caused by a large number of collisions due to the interaction between the cluster and the lattice, the cluster dissociates into a collection of \( D \) and \( O \) atoms when the cluster of \( D_2O \) molecules collides with the metallic surface. In the process, a significant portion of the translational kinetic energy of the cluster is converted to thermal energy, so that the system thermalizes to become a "warm atomic plasma". The neutral \( D \) atoms in the warm atomic plasma then fuse with the \( D \) atoms in the lattice via direct scattering, without going through the doorway step of forming \( D_2 \) molecules. As a rough estimate for the fusion reaction rate, the velocity distribution of the thermalized \( D \) atoms is taken to be Maxwell-Boltzmann. When the cluster is of the size that it contains about 100 - 300 molecules, our results are in qualitative agreement with the experimental observations. As the cluster size increases, our results could be as far as 10 orders of magnitude smaller than
the experimental observations. This indicates that the approach in which only the deuterium atoms in the cluster projectile are assumed to be "thermalized" becomes too limited since compression forced on both the target material and the cluster should become very important.
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