

# Mechanism of Cold Nuclear Fusion in Palladium

*Ken-ichi TSUCHIYA*

*Department of Electronic Engineering, Tokyo National College of Technology,  
1220-2 Kunugida, Hachioji, Tokyo 193 (Japan)*

*Kazutoshi OHASHI*

*Faculty of Engineering, Tamagawa University,  
Machida, Tokyo 193 (Japan)*

*Mitsuru FUKUCHI*

*Department of Instrumentation Engineering,  
Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University,  
Hiyoshi, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223 (Japan)*

## Abstract

A new interpretation of cold nuclear fusion at the center of the boson cluster was given by R.T.Bush et al. The modified theory is given in this paper by adding the effect of screened d-d repulsion. Tunneling probability and power density of cold nuclear fusion in palladium are obtained, and the role of screening effect is found to be very important.

## 1. Introduction

In 1989, Fleishman and Pons et al [1] reported experimental results suggesting a cold nuclear fusion in palladium. And also in 1989, Jones et al [2] reported similar results. In 1991, we have pointed out the importance of electronic screening around deuteron (d) and obtained d-d pair potential with taking into account the non-linear screening effects [3]. Bush et al [4] have given another approach in 1990 by using the concept of symmetry force for boson cluster, and obtained the transmissivity of tunneling and power density generated from d-d nuclear reaction at the center of the cluster. According to their theory, attractive symmetry force supplies kinetic energy to d and this raises the transmissivity of tunneling through the Coulomb barrier. In the present work, we consider that two forces are effective to d. One is symmetry force and the other is d-d repulsive force. This means that repulsive potential reduces the tunneling effect, however electronic screening around d seems to decrease this reduction. Therefore, linear screened, i.e. Thomas-Fermi, and non-linear screened [3] potentials are tried to use for the calculation of transmissivity and power density as a function of the number of d's in a cluster.

## 2. Kinetic Energy

As in ref.[4], d's in metals perform as identical bosons and tend to make clusters. The attractive force effective to them is called symmetry force, and it is written as

$$F_s(R) = -\Omega R^3, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a coupling constant and  $R$  is a radius of the  $N$  boson cluster, which is determined by using degenerate radius  $r_0$  as

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3 = N \frac{4}{3}\pi r_0^3. \quad (2)$$

The coupling constant is given by assuming that attractive symmetry force and repulsive force between two bosons are balanced when the distance between them is  $r_0$  as

$$\Omega R_0^3 = -\left[\frac{d}{dr}V(r)\right]_{r=r_0}, \quad (3)$$

where  $R_0$  is the radius of two boson cluster and  $V(r)$  is the repulsive potential. The value of degenerate radius  $r_0$  is given in ref.[4] as  $r_0=0.23A$ .

When a  $d$  moves towards the center of the cluster under the effects of force  $f$ , kinetic energy  $E_k$  is supplied, which is expressed as

$$E_k = \int_R^0 f(R) dR. \quad (4)$$

In eq.(4), Bush et al [4] assumed that only symmetry force is effective and  $f$  was written as

$$f(R) = F_s(R), \quad (5)$$

which gave

$$E_k = \frac{1}{4}\Omega R^4. \quad (6)$$

In our treatment, we assume that not only symmetry but also repulsive forces are effective, therefore  $f$  is expressed as

$$f(R) = F_s(R) + \frac{d}{dR}(NV(R)), \quad (7)$$

which gives

$$E_k = \frac{1}{4}\Omega R^4 - NV(R). \quad (8)$$

If Coulomb repulsion is chosen as  $V$ , the second term of eq.(8) is so large that  $E_k$  is always negative. However, if screened repulsion is chosen,  $E_k$  becomes positive for large  $N$ , and the tunneling effect is catalyzed.

### 3. Transmissivity and Power Density

If a  $d$  with kinetic energy  $E_k$  penetrates the repulsive barrier ( $r_n < r < r_1$ ) and reaches the nuclear force region ( $r < r_n$ ), the tunneling point  $r_1$  depends on the shape of the repulsive potential and the values of the kinetic energy and transmissivity of this tunneling are written as

$$E_k = V(r_1), \quad (9)$$

and

$$T = \exp(-2G), \quad (10)$$

where the formula of  $G$  is well known [5] as

$$G = \frac{\sqrt{2m}}{h} \int_{r_n}^{r_1} (V(r) - E_k)^{1/2} dr . \quad (11)$$

In the nuclear force region, the d-d nuclear reaction to produce  $He^4$  is catalyzed, and the power generated from this reaction can be calculated by using the transmissivity  $T$ . This gives the power density  $p$  as

$$p = \frac{\nu n N T E_1}{2 V_a} , \quad (12)$$

where  $\nu$ ,  $n$ ,  $E_1$ , and  $V_a$  mean frequency factor, average number of d's injected per interstitial site, released energy from this nuclear reaction and volume of a unit cell of fcc palladium, respectively, and the values of them are given in ref.[4] as  $\nu=10^{14}s^{-1}$ ,  $n=1.2$  and  $E_1=38.4 \times 10^{-13}J$ . The value of lattice constant of fcc palladium is  $a=3.89A$ , and this gives  $V_a=a^3/4$ . We regard the radius  $r_n$  of nuclear force region as  $10^{-5}A$ .

#### 4. Results

For the case of using the Thomas-Fermi screening potential as a repulsion, we obtain

$$\Omega = \frac{(kr_0+1)e^2}{2r_0^5} \exp(-kr_0) , \quad (13)$$

and

$$\frac{e^2}{r_1} \exp(-kr_1) = \frac{(kr_0+1)e^2}{8r_0} \exp(-kr_0) N^{4/3} - \frac{e^2}{r_0} \exp(-kr_0 N^{1/3}) N^{2/3} , \quad (14)$$

where  $k$  is a screening constant and the value is given in ref.[3] as  $k=1.71A^{-1}$ . The value of  $r_1$  is obtained by solving eq.(14) numerically. The results for Transmissivity  $T$  and power density  $p$  are shown in Table 1. In this case, it is found that  $E_k$  is negative for  $N < 8$  and  $p$  is small even for large  $N$ .

**Table 1.** Transmissivity  $T$  and power density  $p$  as a function of  $N$  by using Thomas-Fermi screening potential.

$N$	$r_1(A)$	$E_k(Ryd.)$	$T$	$p(W/cm^3)$
8	0.85	0.3	$8.3 \times 10^{-136}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-110}$
10	0.27	2.5	$6.6 \times 10^{-82}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-55}$
12	0.16	5.0	$8.6 \times 10^{-64}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-36}$
13	0.13	6.4	$4.9 \times 10^{-58}$	$9.9 \times 10^{-32}$
14	0.11	7.8	$1.9 \times 10^{-53}$	$4.1 \times 10^{-27}$
15	0.095	9.3	$1.1 \times 10^{-49}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-23}$
16	0.084	10.8	$1.5 \times 10^{-46}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-20}$
17	0.074	12.4	$7.3 \times 10^{-44}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-17}$
18	0.066	14.1	$1.5 \times 10^{-41}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-15}$
19	0.060	15.8	$1.7 \times 10^{-39}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-13}$
20	0.054	17.5	$1.1 \times 10^{-37}$	$3.4 \times 10^{-10}$

For the case of using non-linear screened d-d pair potential [3],  $\Omega$ ,  $r_1$  and  $G$  are obtained through numerical differentiations and integrations. The results for  $T$

and  $p$  are shown in Table 2. In this case,  $E_k$  is always positive and  $p$  is large enough for  $N > 16$ .

**Table 2.** Transmissivity  $T$  and power density  $p$  as a function of  $N$  by using non-linear screened d-d pair potential [3].

$N$	$r_1(A)$	$E_k(Ryd.)$	$T$	$p(W/cm^3)$
2	0.26	0.7	$1.0 \times 10^{-80}$	$5.6 \times 10^{-51}$
4	0.16	3.9	$5.6 \times 10^{-60}$	$3.5 \times 10^{-34}$
6	0.090	7.7	$4.5 \times 10^{-49}$	$4.3 \times 10^{-23}$
8	0.064	11.6	$1.9 \times 10^{-42}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-16}$
10	0.053	15.6	$1.7 \times 10^{-38}$	$2.7 \times 10^{-12}$
11	0.049	17.7	$8.6 \times 10^{-37}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-10}$
12	0.046	19.7	$2.5 \times 10^{-35}$	$4.6 \times 10^{-9}$
13	0.043	21.9	$7.3 \times 10^{-34}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-7}$
14	0.040	24.1	$1.7 \times 10^{-32}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-6}$
15	0.038	26.1	$2.6 \times 10^{-30}$	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$
16	0.035	28.3	$4.4 \times 10^{-30}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$
17	0.033	30.6	$6.1 \times 10^{-29}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-2}$
18	0.030	32.8	$6.5 \times 10^{-28}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-1}$
19	0.027	34.9	$5.0 \times 10^{-27}$	1.5
20	0.026	36.7	$2.3 \times 10^{-26}$	7.2

## 5. Conclusions

Bush et al [4] pointed out the tendency of identical bosons to clump and derived the attractive symmetry force. In our work, we modified their theory by adding the effect of d-d repulsion. And it is found that screening effect is very important, because symmetry force can not catalyze the tunneling effect for the case of using direct Coulomb repulsion in eq.(8). Our results for the case of using non-linear screening in Table 2 show that power density  $p$  is large enough to measure for  $N > 16$ . We think that the candidate for the site of such a big cluster is lattice defects in palladium.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Professors S.Haruyama and S.Masaki for encouragements, suggestions and discussions.

## References

1. M.Fleishman, S.Pons and M.J.Hawkins, J.Electroanal. Chem., 261, 301(1989)
2. S.E.Jones, E.P.Palmer, J.B.Czirr, D.L.Decker, G.L.Jensen, J.M.Throne, S.F.Taylor and J.Rafelski, Nature (London), 338, 737(1989)
3. K.Tsuchiya, Y.H.Ohashi, K.Ohashi and M.Fukuchi, J.Less-Common Metals, 172-174, 1371(1991)
4. R.T.Bush and R.D.Eagleton, J.Fusion Energy, 9, 397(1990)
5. E.Segre, Nuclei and Particles, W.A.Benjamin, N.Y., p324-325(1977)