

EXHIBIT 6

Robert A. Bernstein

From: John W. Annesser
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 8:07 AM
To: Robert A. Bernstein
Subject: Fwd: strange email

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Brian W. Chaiken" <bchaiken@pbyalaw.com>
Date: February 17, 2017 at 7:19:55 AM EST
To: Andrea Rossi <ar.123@mail.com>
Cc: "John W. Annesser" <jannesser@pbyalaw.com>
Subject: Re: strange email

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone, so please excuse typos and brevity.

On Feb 17, 2017, at 6:31 AM, Andrea Rossi <ar.123@mail.com> wrote:

[REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 at 2:12 AM
From: "Giuseppe Levi" <giuseppe.levi@gmail.com>
To: "Andrea Rossi" <ar.123@mail.com>
Cc: "bo.hoistad@gmail.com" <bo.hoistad@gmail.com>, "Evelyn Foschi" <evfoschi@gmail.com>, "Roland Pettersson" <roland.pettersson@telia.com>
Subject: Fwd: strange email

Dear Andrea,

Bo and me have already had a nice phone call regarding this disgusting email from mr. Weaver.

There will be NO answer.

On our opinion mr. Weaver just want to pull us in the IH-Leonardo Battle. All statements in his letter appear to our scientific team to be false and constructed just to diffuse FUD.

We are disgusted by this behaviour, and we wanted to inform you that our confidence in the results of the Lugano test remain intact.

Dr. Giuseppe Levi

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Bo Höistad** <bo.hoistad@physics.uu.se>
Date: Thu, Feb 16, 2017 at 2:11 PM
Subject: strange email
To: "giuseppe.levi@gmail.com" <giuseppe.levi@gmail.com>

Salve

I got this email yesterday for unknown reasons from the guy Weaver, who contacted me some time ago and offered me a copy of the Lugano reactor to test in Uppsala. I declined the offer referring to the ongoing litigation Rossi/IH.

Do you know what the guy is talking about? I guess he is involved in IH somehow.

Kindest

Bo

From: dewey.weaver [dewey.weaver@deeprv.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 9:16 PM
To: Bo Höistad
Cc: roland.pettersson@telia.com
Subject: RE: Address for Base Lugano Reactor

Bo - I hope this email finds you well. Mats gave an interview yesterday and stated that he had recently been in contact with the Uppsala team, sharing that your Ni-H focused replication efforts were ongoing. Mats also stated that the Uppsala team had no plans to revisit the Lugano report or discuss the increasingly controversial results from that test.

We are in process of learning previously unknown facts about Andrea Rossi, his E-Cat research and test methodologies as part of the ongoing litigation effort. We have learned that the material test of Lugano reactor, with an XRD system at the University of Bologna, was conducted on the reactor plug, not a piece from the main reactor body. As you may know, the plug results came back 99% pure alumina and did not match the reactor body which was made from Durapot 810. Per Cotronics, the maker of the Durapot line, 810 is between 75% to 85% alumina cement (batch dependent). We have also learned that the reactor was painted with an off-

white high temp paint and that information is not accurately reflected in the Lugano report as well.

During our phone conversation last summer, you stated that Levi was forceful in controlling the specific Optris IR camera emissivity / transmissivity settings and that the Uppsala contingent left that decision up to him. More information will be coming out about this in the coming months and I wanted to give you and your team a heads-up regarding any possible impact this may have on the University and / or the involved scientist.

Best regards,
Dewey
01-919-812-3441

Dr. Giuseppe Levi
Physics Dpt and INFN
viale Berti Pichat 6/2
40127 BOLOGNA
Mobile: +39 393 0086704