

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ANDREA ROSSI and LEONARDO)
CORPORATION,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

THOMAS DARDEN; JOHN T. VAUGHN,)
INDUSTRIAL HEAT, LLC; IPH)
INTERNATIONAL B.V.; and)
CHEROKEE INVESTMENT PARTNERS,)
LLC,)

Defendants.)

CASE NO. 1:16-cv-21199-CMA

INDUSTRIAL HEAT, LLC and IPH)
INTERNATIONAL B.V.,)

Counter-Plaintiffs,)

v.)

ANDREA ROSSI and LEONARDO)
CORPORATION,)

Counter-Defendants,)

and)

J.M. PRODUCTS, INC.; HENRY)
JOHNSON; FABIO PENON; UNITED)
STATES QUANTUM LEAP, LLC;)
FULVIO FABIANI; and JAMES BASS,)

Third-Party Defendants.)

**DEFENDANT IPH INTERNATIONAL, B.V.'S
OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' RULE 30(B)(6) NOTICE**

Defendant IPH International, B.V. hereby states the following objections to Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking Videotaped Deposition of the Corporate Representative of IPH International, B.V.:

General Objections

1. Plaintiffs have noticed separate Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of defendants Cherokee Investment Partners LLC ("Cherokee"), Industrial Heat LLC ("IH") and IPH International B.V. ("IPH"). IPH disclaims any obligation to prepare an IPH witness to testify as to topics that are unreasonably cumulative or duplicative of discovery sought from Cherokee and IH, or topics as to which discovery can be obtained from Cherokee and IH in a manner that is more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive than obtaining that discovery from IPH. IPH specifically disclaims any obligation to prepare a witness to testify with respect to documents maintained and produced from the files of Cherokee or IH with respect to topics as to which Cherokee and IH have also been noticed.

2. IPH also objects to all topics specifying "all communications" to the extent that each such topic seeks to impose upon IPH the burden to prepare a witness to testify on each and every email or other communication in a document universe of over 100,000 pages of documents produced by the defendants.

Specific Objections

1. All contract negotiations between the parties to this lawsuit, including but not limited to the License Agreement and amendments thereto, the Term Sheet, and any other agreements.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to IPH's knowledge, if any, of contract negotiations between any plaintiffs or third-party defendants, on the one hand, and any defendants in this lawsuit, on the other hand.

2. All sources of funds to be used to pay the full amounts contemplated by the License Agreement.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of sources of funds contemplated by the parties to the License Agreement to be used to pay the full amounts specified in that License Agreement

3. All communications between the parties pertaining to the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of communications between IPH and any other party pertaining to the Guaranteed Performance Test.

4. All communications between the parties pertaining to the ERV for the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of communications between IPH and any other party pertaining to the Guaranteed Performance Test.

5. All communications between the parties pertaining to the protocols for the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity

as such, of communications between IPH and any other party pertaining to the protocols for the Guaranteed Performance Test.

6. All communications between the parties pertaining to problems or issues with the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, and any other party pertaining to the Guaranteed Performance Test.

7. All internal communications pertaining to the Guaranteed Performance Test, including but not limited to those pertaining to:

- a. the ERV for the Guaranteed Performance Test,
- b. the protocols for the Guaranteed Performance Test, and
- c. problems or issues with the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, and subject to a further objection to the vague word “internal,” IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, and Cherokee or IH pertaining to the Guaranteed Performance Test.

8. All communications with investors pertaining to: Rossi, Leonardo, E-Cat and E-CAT technology, and/or the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, and IPH’s investors pertaining to the identified topics.

9. All internal communications pertaining to investor communications regarding Rossi, Leonardo, E-Cat and E-CAT technology, and/or the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, and further subject to an objection to the vague word “internal,” IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any internal

communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, pertaining to the identified topics.

10. All communications with Brillouin Energy pertaining to: Rossi, Leonardo, E-Cat and E-CAT technology, and/or the Guaranteed Performance Test.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, with Brillouin Energy pertaining to the topics identified.

11. All communications with Fred Zeopfl pertaining to: Rossi, Leonardo, E-Cat and E-CAT technology, the Guaranteed Performance Test, and/or the facility in Doral being used for testing the E-CAT technology.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, with Fred Zeopfl.

12. All communications with any employee of the State of Florida pertaining to: Rossi, Leonardo, E-Cat and E-CAT technology, the Guaranteed Performance Test, and/or the facility in Doral being used for testing the E-CAT technology.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, with the State of Florida on these topics.

13. All attempts to replicate any of Plaintiffs' claimed E-Cat testing results.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of attempts to replicate the specified testing results.

14. All patent applications filed that incorporate, expand upon or otherwise relate to Plaintiffs' E-Cat technology, including but not limited to WIPO Patent Application number WO 2015/127263 A2 filed on August 27, 2015.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of these patent applications.

15. Your claims that Plaintiffs disclosed the E-Cat IP without prior consent, including to (a) the scientists who prepared the Lugano Report and (b) Norman Cook.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, and subject to a further objection that the IPH corporate representative will not testify about the legal contentions underlying IPH's claim that Plaintiffs disclosed the E-Cat IP without prior consent, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of the factual basis for the claim.

16. All communications with Henry Johnson and J.M. Products, Inc.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any communications between IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, with Henry Johnson or J.M. Products, Inc.

17. All due diligence performed by you pertaining to:

- a. Andrea Rossi
- b. E-Cat technology
- c. Fabio Penon
- d. United States Quantum Leap, LLC
- e. Fulvio Fabiani
- f. Henry Johnson
- g. J.M. Products, Inc.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any due diligence performed by IPH employees or principals, acting in their capacity as such, on the referenced topics.

18. Your claims that Plaintiffs have breached the License Agreement.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, and subject to a further objection that the IPH corporate representative will not testify about the legal contentions underlying IPH's claim that Plaintiffs breached the license agreement, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of the factual basis for the claim.

19. Your claims that Plaintiffs have fraudulently induced you to enter into the Term Sheet.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, and subject to a further objection that the IPH corporate representative will not testify about the legal contentions underlying IPH's claim that Plaintiffs fraudulently induced Counter-Plaintiffs to enter into the Term Sheet, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of the factual basis for the claim.

20. Your claims that Plaintiffs have violated the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act.

OBJECTION: Subject to the general objections, and subject to a further objection that the IPH corporate representative will not testify about the legal contentions underlying IPH's claim that Plaintiffs violated the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, IPH will prepare a witness to testify with respect to any knowledge of IPH employees or principals, obtained while acting in their capacity as such, of the factual basis for the claim.

Dated: February 9, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher R.J. Pace

Christopher R.J. Pace
cpace@jonesday.com
Florida Bar No. 721166
Christopher M. Lomax
clomax@jonesday.com
Florida Bar No. 56220
Christina T. Mastrucci
cmastrucci@jonesday.com
Florida Bar No. 113013
Erika S. Handelson
ehandelson@jonesday.com
Florida Bar No. 91133
JONES DAY
600 Brickell Avenue
Brickell World Plaza
Suite 3300
Miami, FL 33131
Tel: 305-714-9700
Fax: 305-714-9799

Attorneys for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by e-mail on counsel of record on the service list below this 9th day of February, 2017.

/s/ Erika S. Handelson

Erika S. Handelson

SERVICE LIST

John W. Annesser, Esq.
Brian Chaiken
Paul D. Turner
D. Porpoise Evans
PERLMAN, BAJANDAS, YEVOLI &
ALBRIGHT, P.L.
283 Catalonia Avenue, Suite 200
Coral Gables, FL 33134
Tel.: (305) 377-0086
Fax: (305) 377-0781
jannesser@pbyalaw.com
bchaiken@pbyalaw.com
pturner@pbyalaw.com
pevans@pbyalaw.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Fernando S. Aran
ARAN, CORREA & GUARCH, P.A.
255 University Drive
Coral Gables, FL 33134-6732
Tel.: (305) 665-3400
Fax: (305) 665-2250
faran@acg-law.com
Counsel for JM Products, Inc., Henry Johnson and James Bass

Rodolfo Nuñez
RODOLFO NUNEZ, P.A.
255 University Drive
Coral Gables, Florida 33134
Tel: (305) 665-3400
Fax: (305) 665-2250
rnunez@acg-law.com
Counsel for United States Quantum Leap, LLC and Fulvio Fabiani