Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 1 of 22

UNITED STATES DIS SOUTHERN DISTRICT	
ANDREA ROSSI and LEONARDO) CORPORATION,	
) Plaintiffs,	
vs.	No. 1:16-cv-2119-CMA
THOMAS DARDEN; JOHN T. VAUGHN;) INDUSTRIAL HEAT, LLC; IPH) INTERNATIONAL B.V.; and) CHEROKEE INVESTMENT PARTNERS,) LLC,	
Defendants.) INDUSTRIAL HEAT, LLC and IPH) INTERNATIONAL B.V.,)	
Counter-Plaintiffs,	
vs.)	
ANDREA ROSSI and LEONARDO	
Counter-Defendants,)	
and)	
J.M. PRODUCTS, INC.; HENRY) JOHNSON; FABIO PENON; UNITED) STATES QUANTUM LEAP, LLC;) FULVIO FABIANI; and JAMES) BASS,	
Third-Party Defendants.)	
Videotaped Deposition of JOHN THOMAS VAUGHN (Taken by Plaintiff) 3509 North Haworth Drive, Suite 403, Raleigh, North Carolina January 19, 2017, 9:04 a.m.	
Reported in Ste Margaret M. Kruse, Transcript produced by comput	CSR, RMR, CRR



Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 2 of

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

22

It states [as read]: The total price for the payments. grant of the license and the purchase of the plant is \$100,500,000. Do you see that? Α. I see that. And then it goes on to say [as read]: 0. The payment terms will be as follows. Α. Uh-huh. And is it your understanding that there were 0. three tiers of payments? And I apologize, I was just reviewing Α. Correct. it to make sure my recollection wasn't incorrect. But correct. And at the first payment of \$1,500,000 was to be 0. made within two days of the signing of this agreement, correct? Α. That's what it says, yes. And it states in here [as read]: In the event 0. the plant is not delivered or validation is not achieved within the time period set forth in Section 4, the full \$1,500,000 will be refunded to the company within two business days of its request. Do you see that? Α. I see that, yes. Did Industrial Heat ever request that that money 0.

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1 | be returned?

A. I don't know. I did -- I don't recall myself requesting that and I don't believe that Tom did. The -we had been provided a report that Dr. Rossi gave us when -- by Levy and other Swedish professors that was very compelling. And so anyway. . .

Q. This just says if it's not delivered or achieves
8 the --

9

A. Validation, yeah.

10 Q. -- the validation, the money would be returned. 11 Is that what it says?

A. It says [as read]: In the event the plant is not delivered or validation is not achieved within the time period set forth in Section 4, the full 1.5 million will be refunded to the company within two business days of its request.

17 MR. BELL: And his pending question is: Is that 18 what it says?

19 20

THE WITNESS: That's what it says, yes.

20 MR. BELL: His earlier question was: Did you 21 make a request or whatever. I don't want to rephrase the 22 question.

23 THE WITNESS: I did not make a request.
24 BY MR. ANNESSER:
25 Q. Was validation achieved, sir?

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 4 of 22

rage //
MR. LOMAX: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I don't see payment of
nonpayment of taxes referenced specifically in
paragraph 98.
BY MR. CHAIKEN:
Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding as to how
IPH was damaged as a result of Leonardo and Rossi's
failure to pay taxes?
A. My understanding of the provision in the
contract is to protect the parties from perhaps a claim
resulting from nonpayment of tax against perhaps a
property, the subject property of the license agreement.
Q. Did any such claim take place or happen?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge of any damage that
IPH incurred as a result of the allegation that Leonardo
and Rossi failed to pay taxes?
MR. LOMAX: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that.
BY MR. CHAIKEN:
Q. Going back to paragraph 135, Page 61. I take
it back. Paragraph 134 states, "Rossi and Leonardo, JMP
and Johnson, falsely represented to Industrial Heat that

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

Page 108

1 | BY MR. ANNESSER:

Q. Isn't it true that Industrial Heat and yourself were more interested in the results of the Lugano test, the independent test, as it was referred to, than you were of your own test?

We were very interested in the results of that 6 Α. 7 test. Again, we didn't have -- we clearly had reservations or questions at the end of '13. We also -- while we 8 weren't sure about Rossi's methods and results, we also 9 10 weren't sure of our own and we questioned our abilities to 11 determine the state-of-the-art. And -- and so we were very 12 interested in what they claimed or their assessment in 13 Lugano.

So that was -- I think it's fair to say that we
were very eager to hear their analysis.

Q. And before the Lugano test, you said you were still concerned about how the testing was carried out and whether those results were verifiable and accurate?

19

A. Correct.

20 Q. Who carried out the Lugano test, to your 21 understanding?

A. Rossi was there with Fulvio. And then Levy. I
think Faci was there. And then -- I get the Swedish guys
mixed up, but I think there were two of three of them
there, and they were all there to carry out the test.

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 6 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

,	5
1	information from that period of time, and it just
2	depending on, you know, as I mentioned, it's an evolving
3	process, our view of the technology. But we realized that
4	we had to get much more sophisticated than we were
5	originally to assess accurately whether or not the
6	technology worked.
7	Q. So sitting here today your position is not that
8	it didn't work, it's that you can't affirmatively state
9	with the information that you collected at the time that it
10	does?
11	MR. BELL: Objection to form.
12	BY MR. ANNESSER:
13	Q. Is that fair to is that a fair summary?
14	A. Sitting here today, it is my belief that the
15	technology does not work.
16	Q. Okay. I did not ask you what your belief was.
17	A. I'm confused about the way you're phrasing the
18	question is confusing. If you could I apologize, but if
19	you could restate.
20	Q. You've used the term "indeterminate." That
21	generally means, at least I take it to mean and correct me
22	if I'm wrong, that you cannot determine whether the results
23	were correct or not?
24	A. I said we were getting a lot of indeterminate
25	information. So if you go back and you review all of these

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1	A. Right.
2	Q. I believe the test protocol was proposed prior to
3	that in 2014 or early '15 before Mr. Murray was brought on
4	board. And correct me if that's not true.
5	But did you at any time tell Mr. Rossi prior to
6	the beginning of that test that you did not agree with the
7	protocol?
8	A. I don't recall telling him that. But I do recall
9	that we expressed particularly Joe and I when we finally
10	made it down there our concerns were the deficiencies of
11	protocols supplied by Penon.
12	Q. And when did you supply Dr. Rossi with your
13	perceived deficiencies?
14	A. I don't recall exactly.
15	Q. Was that in writing?
16	A. I don't recall. I know for example, though, that
17	we as I mentioned, when Joe and I were down there, we
18	highlighted this ad nauseam. You were, in fact, there
19	yourself.
20	Q. That was at the conclusion of the test; was it
21	not?
22	A. What Rossi was claiming to be the conclusion.
23	Q. Have you ever heard the term "the 350-day test"?
24	A. I thought it was a 400-day test. Anyway, 350,
25	yeah, 350 out of 400, sure.

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 8 of

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

Page 144 1 out of 400 days; is that correct? 2 I think it also requires that test start 60 Α. 3 days at or --4 Sir, can you please answer the question. 0. You 5 keep trying to side track. Answer the question and you can 6 make any statement after that that you want. 7 Α. Sorry about that. But isn't it correct, sir, that the test that is 8 0. 9 referenced as a guaranteed performance test in the license 10 agreement requires that it operate 350 out of 400 days? 11 There's a test -- there's a requirement in Α. Yes. 12 the operating agreement -- I'm sorry, license agreement 13 that a one-megawatt plant -- I guess it's referred to as a 14 one-megawatt plant -- be tested for 350 out of 400 days or 15 be operated for 350. 16 And, sir, when you use the term "350-day test" or 0. 17 "400-day test," is it fair to assume that you were 18 referring to the contractual test? 19 MR. BELL: Objection to form. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 Clearly Rossi wanted it to be that, right. Α. 2.2 BY MR. ANNESSER: 23 Sir, I'm going to ask you again to answer the 0. 24 question. 25 When you use the term "350-day test" or "400-day

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 9 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1	test," are you referring to the test contemplated in the
2	license agreement? I'm not talking about the Miami test
3	I'm talking about when you use that term.
4	MR. BELL: Objection to form.
5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	A. Could I show me where the use that term?
7	BY MR. ANNESSER:
8	Q. We can get there, sir. Let me back you down for
9	a second.
10	Was there more than one test that was required or
11	contemplated anywhere in any agreement that was supposed to
12	run 350 to 400 days?
13	A. More than one test? Well, Rossi introduced this
14	commercial customer down there and he said he wanted to do
15	that for 350 days. In the agreement, that test was we
16	were supposed to conduct the 350-day test. That did not
17	require a commercial customer. That was not a requirement
18	at all. And it was supposed to start within 60 days of
19	delivery of the plant.
20	I'm going to stand up for a second if that's
21	okay.
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 1:31
23	p.m.
24	(Brief pause.)
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 10 of

22

age 146

	01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn Page 1
1	1:31 p.m.
2	(Whereupon, Vaughn Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked
3	for identification.)
4	BY MR. ANNESSER:
5	Q. Sir, I'm going to show you an email dated
б	October 22, 2013, from you to Mr. Darden. It bears the
7	Bates number IH-000133919 and it has been marked as
8	Exhibit 7.
9	Go ahead and take a moment to read that.
10	A. Okay.
11	Q. Sir, at or around this time, October 22, 2013,
12	you were discussing with Mr. Darden the pros and cons of
13	using a six cylinder versus some other model or form of
14	E-Cat for a 350-day test; is that correct?
15	A. Yes. We were discussing various different
16	designs to accommodate Rossi.
17	Q. Now, in this, the 350-day test referenced, are
18	you referring to the guaranteed performance test?
19	A. I am referring to the 350-day test. Presumably I
20	was referring to the guaranteed performance test as
21	referenced in the contract.
22	At this time, this was shortly after I don't
23	remember exactly when the unit was delivered, but he's
24	still close to that delivery date. And there was again,
25	as I mentioned previously, I believe there was talk of, you

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 11 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

Page 149

things, why do we care if it's a single unit, a six 1 cylinder or a clunky one megawatt. But, clearly, you're 2 3 your increasing -- as you're moving down the next spectrum, 4 you're increasing the likelihood that we would have to pay 5 Dr. Rossi. In my opinion, it's a great day if we had to pay 6 him because we got something that works, works at a very 7 8 high real output and a high real COP and we can replicate 9 it. But, you know. . . 10 But you may not want to do it that way because it 0. 11 increases the likelihood of having to pay Dr. Rossi; that's 12 what you're saying? 13 Α. What I'm saying --14 MR. BELL: Objection to form. 15 BY MR. ANNESSER: 16 That's a yes-or-no question, sir. 0. 17 It's not a yes-or-no question, John, and you know Α. 18 It's a -- you're trying to put words in my mouth. that. 19 You're saying blah, blah, blah isn't this what you're 20 saying. And I'm trying to tell you -- I already told you 21 what I'm saying. 22 We were trying to be accommodative to Rossi and 23 lower the hurdle in which he would have to be paid. Again, 24 you've got to balance that with actually, you know, having 25 to -- having responsibilities as a manager to lower the

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 12 of

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

22

1	chances that a company is going to have to make a big
2	company. I was saying here I'm just as happy. And you
3	know, if it's a low hurdle.
4	Q. In fact, I want to correct you, sir. You say [as
5	read]: But if a single cylinder unit passes the 350-day
6	test with a COP of greater than 2.6, then I'm going to be
7	almost as happy, not quite as happy as if we don't have to
8	pay 8.9 million, but almost as happy.
9	A. No, no, you're
10	Q. These are your words, sir.
11	A. I know these are my words. Absolutely these are
12	my words.
13	But what I'm saying [as read]: But if a single
14	cylinder unit passes the 350-day test with a COP of greater
15	than 2.6 what I'm saying is that means we have to pay
16	him. I'm going to be almost as happy, I think. And
17	so
18	Q. Almost as happy as if it fails?
19	A. No. As if it were a one-megawatt unit. Do you
20	want me to read the entire email for the record here so
21	that you don't take it out of context?
22	Q. It's in the record.
23	MR. BELL: It's in the record, so you don't have
24	to worry about it.
25	

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 13 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

	Page 15
1	BY MR. ANNESSER:
2	Q. And isn't it true, sir, that Industrial Heat
3	agreed to use the six-cylinder test I'm sorry, the
4	six-cylinder E-Cat unit for the 350-day test?
5	MR. BELL: Objection to form.
6	MR. ANNESSER: What specifically is your
7	objection on that one?
8	MR. BELL: You asked him about Industrial Heat
9	and he's testifying about as J.T. Vaughn. Embedded in your
10	question is did they agree to use that unit for the
11	guaranteed performance test under the contract.
12	BY MR. ANNESSER:
13	Q. To your understanding, sir, did Industrial Heat
14	agree to use the six-cylinder unit for the testing?
15	A. There was an amendment that was proposed. I
16	don't remember if Dr. Rossi drafted it first or we drafted
17	it first. We were trying to accommodate his desires. I'm
18	highlighting this in this email.
19	And it was not signed by Rossi's partners, AEG,
20	and so it never went into effect. And both parties, Rossi
21	and us, I believe acknowledge that and I believe that's
22	also in emails. But you guys tell me if it's not.
23	Anyway, there was an amendment and it was never
24	signed by all parties.
25	Q. It was signed by Industrial Heat, was it not?

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 14 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1	Q. Did it have to have a customer to satisfy the
2	350-day test requirements?
3	A. It did not. And we didn't again, we were
4	trying to be accommodative and, you know, a good partner to
5	Andrea. And he kept saying it was important to him.
6	And even though we didn't care, we weren't
7	against it. I mean, it wasn't necessarily a negative
8	thing. So we wanted to be accommodative to him on that
9	front because it doesn't necessarily preclude us, we didn't
10	think, from achieving our objectives.
11	Q. Because you could measure it without a customer?
12	You could measure the output without having a customer
13	looked up to the other end of the line?
14	A. Right.
15	Q. Was West Virginia ever proposed?
16	A. West Virginia?
17	Q. Yes, sir.
18	A. I don't recall. You know, I'm trying to
19	remember. I just don't recall.
20	Q. Did you, sir, personally ever attempt to obtain
21	approval to operate the one-megawatt plant in North
22	Carolina?
23	A. Did I ever personally attempt to?
24	Q. Obtain approval from any government agencies or
25	state or federal?

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 15 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1	Dight The net brown the sume DC
1	A. Right. I do not know who owns JMC.
2	Q. Okay. Isn't it true, sir, as of September 11,
3	2014 so after the term sheet had been signed you were
4	still anticipating the guaranteed performance test?
5	A. Did you say is that true that we're still
6	anticipating the guaranteed performance test
7	Q. That the guaranteed performance test would be
8	performed?
9	A. In September of 2014?
10	Q. That's correct.
11	A. I suppose so.
12	Q. And that the guaranteed performance test would be
13	prepared and performed in Miami; is that correct?
14	A. Andrea wanted to do the work in Miami for Johnson
15	Matthey.
16	Q. That was not the question.
17	Was it your understanding at that time that the
18	guaranteed performance test, the 350-day test, would be
19	performed in Miami pursuant to the license agreement?
20	A. Again, you know, you can you can ask that a
21	million different ways. But, yes, Andrea was doing that
22	work in Miami. And, you know, he was running a
23	one-megawatt unit in Miami and he did that for a period of
24	350 days or thereabouts.
25	Q. And you understood that to be the 350-day test

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 16 of

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

22

1 pursuant to the license agreement; isn't that true, sir? 2 I think that that's debatable. But, clearly, if Α. he had performed, we were eager to pay. 3 4 So if you were pleased with the results, it was 0. 5 the guaranteed performance test; but if you were not pleased with the result, it was not? 6 That's not at all what I said. 7 Α. 0. Sir --8 9 We were trying to accommodate --Α. 10 MR. BELL: You've answered the question. 11 I can't answer the question. THE WITNESS: 12 MR. BELL: You have answered the question. 13 BY MR. ANNESSER: 14 Go ahead and finish, sir. 0. Go ahead and finish. 15 16 I was just going to say that's consistent with Α. 17 what I've said previously. Our goal has been to accommodate Andrea and to determine the state-of-the-art, 18 19 whether or not it really works. And if it does, you know, 20 we are happy to pay him. 21 Do you understand the 350-day test to be carried Q. 2.2 out in Miami could result in a payment of \$89 million to 23 Dr. Rossi as it was the test contemplated by the license 24 agreement? It's a yes-or-no answer and then you can 25 explain again if you need to.

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

	5
1	MR. BELL: Objection, asked and answered.
2	BY THE WITNESS:
3	A. The could you state the question again,
4	please.
5	BY MR. ANNESSER:
6	Q. As of September 11, 2014, sir, it was your
7	understanding that if Dr. Rossi performed the 350-date,
8	also known as the guaranteed performance test, while in
9	Miami successfully, he would be entitled to payment of the
10	\$89 million pursuant to the license agreement?
11	A. If he were successful, our goal was to pay him.
12	(Whereupon, Vaughn Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked
13	for identification.)
14	BY MR. ANNESSER:
15	Q. Sir, I'm going to show you a document marked as
16	Exhibit 14. You had mentioned that you had prepared a
17	timeline. Is this the timeline you prepared, sir?
18	A. I'm not sure. I'd have to review it.
19	Q. Okay. While you're doing that, I will just read
20	for the record that is an email from J.T. Vaughn to John
21	Mazzarino and Tom Darden dated September 11, 2014, with the
22	Bates number IH-00107550.
23	Sir, specifically I'm going to ask you to look at
24	the second page, the last paragraph on the page where it
25	says May to September of I believe that's under 2014; is

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 18 of 22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1	at the Miami location?
2	A. Clearly, you know, Tom and Penon traded emails
3	about a test protocol. That is that's true.
4	Q. Did at any time you or Mr. Darden, to your
5	knowledge, tell Mr. Penon that he is not the ERV
6	A. I'm not sure.
7	Q responsible for the testing?
8	A. I'm not sure.
9	Q. From these emails, would you draw the conclusion
10	that he believed he would be performing a test on the E-Cat
11	in Miami?
12	MR. BELL: That Penon believed.
13	BY MR. ANNESSER:
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. Penon clearly was planning to perform an analysis
16	of Dr. Rossi's E-Cat MW1 as referenced.
17	Q. And did at any time you tell Dr. Penon that this
18	was not the guaranteed performance test, that you were not
19	the ERV, you were not authorized to do a test?
20	A. I don't recall.
21	Q. Now, when the plant was sent down and set up for
22	testing, Mr. Dameron came down in early February after
23	Mr. Penon had sent in his test equipment; is that correct?
24	A. I believe that's correct.
25	Q. And did he come to you and tell you, no, this
24	A. I believe that's correct.

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 19 of

22

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1	know, those sorts of I don't know.
2	Q. So sitting here today, you do not know?
3	A. I do not know?
4	Q. Other than other than the number of smaller
5	E-Cats within this one-megawatt plant that were operating
б	at any time, you do not know of any other
7	A. I don't know if I know of any other.
8	Q. You don't know what you don't know.
9	A. That's right. I'm sorry.
10	Q. Either you know or you don't. If you don't, the
11	answer is no.
12	A. I'd have to go through and review all of this and
13	see if the backup detail exists or not.
14	Q. Did you ever receive statements from J.M. as to
15	the amount of power that they received and requested that
16	you send them an invoice for that power?
17	A. I did.
18	Q. Did you ever send an invoice?
19	A. Not to my recollection.
20	Q. Why?
21	A. Because we we could that was irrelevant to
22	us. And if, in fact, it were a fraud, we didn't want to
23	participate in that.
24	Q. So you believed at the time it could be a fraud?
25	A. We didn't know.

22 01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn Page 247 1 Now, Industrial Heat paid two people to be there, 0. 2 Mr. Fulvio -- I'm sorry, Fulvio Fabiani and Mr. Barry West. 3 They were there --At the request of Dr. Rossi. We were -- Rossi 4 Α. 5 should have been paying them. We were, again, being generous and accommodative. We paid them. 6 7 Q. So you're telling me that you knew this was the 350-day test and you didn't think it prudent to put anyone 8 9 else in that plant to monitor, figure out what's going on, 10 what is he doing that we're not doing? 11 MR. BELL: Objection to form. 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 Α. Andrea would only allow Barry and Fulvio. And, 14 you know, those were the guys that he wanted. BY MR. ANNESSER: 15 16 Did you request additional people come on? 0. 17 Α. Yes. I told you I tried to take Joseph Murray 18 down there. And we also planned to have other of our staff 19 rotate -- excuse me, rotate in and out. So we talked 20 amongst our engineering and operational team to -- about 21 having people go down there and spend long periods of time 22 down there. 23 Okay. Prior to Joseph Murray coming on board in 0. 24 the middle of 2015, who was your engineering team? 25 Α. As I told you previously, T. Barker Dameron and I

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 20 of

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 21 of

22

Page 258

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

1 which I believe you're referencing Paul Lamacraft says something that it was a core element or something like 2 3 that. And pull the email, please. Let's review it. 4 I'm asking you if you've -- if you've got 0. 5 information on an email, he said it was a core element? 6 He said -- he referenced it as a core Α. No. element. He didn't say it was the core element. And we've 7 8 been very clear and I was very clear with Woodford -- well, 9 Lamacraft owned this. But they're investing in a strategy, 10 a portfolio-based approach to the LENR sector. 11 Rossi's technology could turn out to work and it 12 We don't know. It's a variable among many in the may not. 13 portfolio and that's what you're investing in. 14 Do you have any evidence, sir, to support the 0. 15 claims by Industrial Heat and IPH international that 16 Dr. Rossi has not paid appropriate or taxes on the money that he had received? 17 I believe that Craig Casserino's accountant was 18 Α. 19 also Andrea's account and had reported to Craig that he 20 never paid? 21 Do you have any personal knowledge, sir? Q. 22 Sir, I'm telling you what I have. A conversation Α. 23 with --24 0. I'm asking -- I'm not asking who --25 MR. BELL: He's distinguishing between what

Case 1:16-cv-21199-CMA Document 214-14 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/23/2017 Page 22 of

01/19/2017 John Thomas Vaughn

22

Page 259

1 somebody told you and what you personally know yourself. 2 I have not personally reviewed THE WITNESS: Andrea's taxes. Your mom may have, but I haven't. 3 4 BY MR. ANNESSER: 5 0. Interesting answer, sir. Now, with respect to that, has Industrial Heat at 6 7 all been damaged by any payment or failure to pay taxes by Dr. Rossi? 8 9 It was a requirement of the license agreement Α. 10 that he do so. 11 There were lots of requirement in the Q. Okay. 12 license agreement. 13 Α. Sure. 14 So what I'm asking is have you been damaged? 0. I live in the United States and he didn't 15 Α. Sure. 16 pay his taxes. 17 Q. And that's the same way that Industrial Heat's 18 been damaged, correct? 19 Industrial Heat is a company in the United Α. 20 If the tax revenue in the United States decreases, States. 21 it affects the companies and the citizens within that 22 territory. Did you ever tell Dr. Rossi that you wanted to 23 0. 24 withhold taxes from that payment to assure that those would 25 be paid?